



DONATE

FREE SIGNUP

CHDCOVIDBIG PHARMABIG TECHBIG CHEMICALBIG ENERGYBIG FOODLEGALQ

Order Now! "The Wuhan Cover-Up" by RFK Jr.!

02/07/24 • BIG CHEMICAL • NEWS

'Sweeping Victory': Court Revokes Approval of Monsanto's Dicamba Pesticide

The drift-prone pesticide has damaged millions of acres of crops and wild plants every year since the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency approved it in 2017 for spraying on cotton and soybean crops genetically engineered by Monsanto, now owned by Bayer.

By [Press Release](#)

7 [f](#) [t](#) [e](#) [l](#) [p](#)



Miss a day, miss a lot. [Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.](#)

In a sweeping victory for family farmers and dozens of endangered plants and animals, a [federal court](#) on Tuesday revoked approval of the extremely volatile, weed-killing pesticide dicamba.

The drift-prone pesticide has damaged millions of acres of crops and wild plants every year since the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) first approved it in 2017 for spraying on cotton and soybean crops [genetically engineered by Monsanto](#) (now Bayer) to survive what would otherwise be a deadly dose.

Tuesday's ruling by the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona in Tucson overturns the [EPA's 2020 reapproval](#) of the pesticide, which included additional application restrictions that have failed to prevent the ongoing drift damage.

"This is a vital victory for farmers and the environment," said George Kimbrell, Center for Food Safety's legal director and counsel in the case.



He added:

"Time and time again, the evidence has shown that dicamba cannot be used without causing massive and unprecedented harm to farms as well as endangering plants and pollinators.

"The court today resoundingly reaffirmed what we have always maintained: the EPA's and Monsanto's claims of dicamba's safety were irresponsible and unlawful."

Since [dicamba was approved](#) for "over-the-top" [spraying](#) its use has increased twentyfold.

The EPA estimates 65 million acres (two-thirds of soybeans and three-fourths of cotton) are dicamba-resistant, with roughly half that acreage [sprayed with dicamba](#), an area nearly the size of Alabama.

Much of the unsprayed crops are planted "defensively" by farmers to avoid [dicamba drift damage](#).

In Tuesday's decision, the court canceled dicamba's over-the-top use, holding that the EPA violated the [Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act's](#) public input requirement before its approval.

This violation is "very serious," according to the court, especially because the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals previously ruled that the EPA failed to consider serious risks of over-the-top dicamba in issuing the prior registration.

"I hope the court's emphatic rejection of the EPA's reckless approval of dicamba will spur the agency to finally stop ignoring the far-reaching harm caused by this dangerous pesticide," said Nathan Donley, environmental health science director at the Center for Biological Diversity.

"Endangered butterflies and bee populations will keep tanking if the EPA keeps twisting itself into a pretzel to approve this product just to appease the [pesticide industry](#)."

The court outlined the massive damage to stakeholders who were deprived of their opportunity to comment. That includes growers that do not use over-the-top dicamba and suffer significant financial losses.

It also includes states that repeatedly reported landscape-level damage, but in the same 2020 decision lost the ability to impose restrictions greater than those imposed by the federal government without formal legislative or rulemaking processes.

As a result, the court found "the EPA is unlikely to issue the same registrations" again after taking these stakeholders' concerns into account.

The court also criticized the EPA's assessment of the 2020 registrations' widespread harms. Monsanto and the EPA claimed this over-the-top new use of dicamba would not cause harm because of new restrictions on its use.

But the court found the EPA's "circular approach to assessing risk, hinging on its high confidence that control measures will all but eliminate offsite movement, [led] to its corresponding failure to assess costs from offsite movement."

Instead, just as independent researchers had warned, the restrictions failed and dicamba continued to vaporize and drift.

"We are grateful that the court held the EPA and Monsanto accountable for the massive damage from dicamba to farmers, farmworkers and the environment, and halted its use," said Lisa Griffith of the National Family Farm Coalition. "The pesticide system that Monsanto sells should not be sprayed as it cannot be sprayed safely."

"Every summer since the approval of dicamba, our farm has suffered significant damage to a wide range of vegetable crops," said Rob Faux, a farmer and communications manager at Pesticide Action Network. "Today's decision provides much-needed and overdue protection for farmers and the environment."

**RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's
New Book: "Vax-Unvax"**

[ORDER NOW](#)

Background

This is the second time a federal court has found that the EPA unlawfully approved dicamba.

An [earlier case](#) resulted in an appeals court overturning the agency's prior approval of the pesticide. The EPA reapproved the same uses of the pesticide in 2020, leading to the [current lawsuit](#).

[Tuesday's ruling](#) outlaws dicamba products sprayed over emerged soybeans and cotton crops that are genetically engineered to withstand the spray.

Since 2017 the pesticide has caused [drift damage to millions of acres](#) of non-genetically engineered soybeans as well as to orchards, gardens, [trees](#) and other plants on a scale unprecedented in the [history of U.S. agriculture](#).

Dozens of imperiled species, including pollinators like monarch butterflies and rusty-patched bumblebees, are also threatened by the pesticide.

The EPA admitted in a [2021 report](#) that its application restrictions to limit dicamba's harm had failed and the pesticide was continuing to cause massive drift damage to crops.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture estimates that up to [15 million acres of soybeans](#) have been damaged by dicamba drift.

[Beekeepers](#) in multiple states have reported sharp [drops in honey production](#) due to dicamba drift suppressing the flowering plants their bees need for sustenance.

The plaintiffs are the National Family Farm Coalition, the Pesticide Action Network, the Center for Food Safety and the Center for Biological Diversity. They are represented by legal counsel from the Center for Food Safety and the Center for Biological Diversity.

Originally published by the [Center for Biological Diversity](#).

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

[SIGN UP](#)

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Press Release

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without [your support](#).

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our [Comment Policy](#) before commenting.

[Got it](#)

7 Comments [Login](#)

G

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISCUS

D

f

x

G

1 [Share](#) [Best](#) [Newest](#) [Oldest](#)

B

Bonnie Gillmore

4 days ago

The EPA. Another regulatory agency captured by the industry they're supposed to regulate.

8

0

Reply

Share

P

Priscilla King

4 days ago

Hurray! Now do glyphosate!

7

0

Reply

Share

M

Mmm

4 days ago

Thank you, if it is really true, and will really be honored. I have seen big drop in bees and butterflies in central illinois farmland in last year.

4

0

Reply

Share

G

Gary Brown

4 days ago

February 6, 2024 Federal Court Halts Spraying of Monsanto's Dicamba Pesticide Across Millions of Acres of Cotton, Soybeans

TUCSON, Ariz. — In a sweeping victory for family farmers and dozens of endangered plants and animals, a federal court today revoked approval of the extremely volatile, weed-killing pesticide dicamba.

<https://biologicaldiversity...>

see more

1

0

Reply

Share

T

trmlogan

an hour ago

Definitely highlights the fact that Monsanto pretty much controls the EPA, sadly. I wonder who else does.

0

0

Reply

Share

N

Norseman

8 hours ago

This herbicide has been on the market for many DECADES and still it will be available for use on non-GMO crops like wheat and barley, which it always had been. The world hadn't come to an end because of its use. If herbicides weren't available we would all starve. Crop production before herbicides was only a small fraction what it is now.

0

0

Reply

Share

b

boxerdoglover

4 days ago

Great news. The drift of Dicamba from the cotton crops destroys grape vines.

0

0

Reply

Share

Subscribe

Privacy

Do Not Sell My Data